From:

To:

East Anglia ONE North

Subject: SPR - Relevant Representation ref EN010077 my reference 20023693

Date: 01 November 2020 12:16:25

Attachments: <u>image002.png</u>

Dear Sirs,

As District Councillors and Ward Members for the area most affected, together with my fellow Cllr T-J Haworth-Culf, we would jointly like to register our non-support of this application. We support the principal of renewable energy, however at best this is perhaps a 'right application' but in the wrong place.

It is made without due consideration given to the damage to this special area and none apparently given to alternative onshore landing points, such as suggested among others by our MP Dr Therese Coffey, of Bradwell. The choice made of the Friston / Knodishall border selected just because it is the nearest site to this landing point not in the AONB, is highly inappropriate as is the lack of consideration given to the damage potentially caused by the associated works through the AONB en route to the site.

Local devastating, irreversible impacts on Friston village, both in the short-term during construction and long term with the legacy of the highly visible station. There is no mitigation possible that would improve particularly the second, there are residents in Friston, Knodishall and Aldringham whose enjoyment of life and ability to continue running viable businesses in particular in the important tourism area would be severely compromised. Aldeburgh, Snape, Thorpeness and the other rural Parishes are almost entirely dependent on the tourism for livelihoods, a prosperity that in a ripple effect spreads out across the area.

Concern that any post-covid-19 recovery in the area's tourism sector is further put in doubt should this application proceed, is only exceeded in the worry felt by many including the Alde and Ore Society at the damage to be done to the fragile Coraline Cragg cliffs at Thorpeness. Reputational damage to the area would no doubt discourage visitors to Coastal Suffolk with resulting extensive damage to the tourism industry.

In addition, there are several areas of non-adhesion to the NPPF contained in this application.

The negative effects of this application alone would be felt widely across Suffolk; not just the pressure from traffic on the mainly single carriageway A12, and the tiny lanes around Friston and Aldringham but in the precedent set should this NSIP be approved. Should Sizewell C be given approval the two NSIPs together with the associated works would devastate life in this part of Suffolk.

No jobs would come with this application, to this area so impacted; a very few additional created at Lowestoft, so next to no gain in compensation for the devastation caused either directly associated with the area specifically or County wide.

Rather than further repeat points so well made by others, we state that we support and endorse Friston Parish Council / SASES's representations, together with the Aldeburgh Society's among

the many other similarly concerned groups. That after intense scrutiny our MP and neither Suffolk County Council nor East Suffolk District Council consider the negatives of this application are outweighed by any benefits is a position we also agree with.

We respectfully suggest that Suffolk deserves better.

Yours sincerely

Jocelyn Bond



Cllr Jocelyn Bond | Aldeburgh and Leiston

East Suffolk Council

www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk www.eastsuffolkmeansbusiness.co.uk

East Suffolk Council will continue to review and prioritise the delivery of its services during this unprecedented time.

The COVID-19 outbreak will severely impact what we are able to do, however we will continue to support and protect our communities, delivering the critical services you need.

Confidentiality: This email and its attachments are intended for the above named only and may be confidential. If they have come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone; please reply to this email and highlight the error.

Security Warning: Please note that this email has been created in the knowledge that Internet email is not a 100% secure communications medium. We advise that you understand and accept this lack of security when emailing us.

Viruses: Although we have taken steps to ensure that this email and attachments are free from any virus, we advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient should ensure they are actually virus free.

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com